Solar Storms and Satellite Vulnerability: Insights from the October 2024 Starlink Incident and Historical Precedents

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

October 22, 2024

Satellites play an indispensable role in modern communications, navigation, and data gathering, but they face increasing risks from space weather, particularly solar storms. Solar storms, driven by solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs), can generate geomagnetic storms that significantly impact satellites in Low-Earth Orbit (LEO). These disturbances increase atmospheric drag, cause radiation damage to satellite electronics, and disrupt communication systems. The October 2024 Starlink incident, which resulted in the loss of 12 satellites, exemplifies the vulnerability of satellite constellations to solar storms. This incident, triggered by pre-conditioning effects from elevated Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) radiation and a subsequent geomagnetic storm, serves as a reminder of the dangers posed by heightened solar activity. By examining the 2024 Starlink incident in the context of past satellite failures due to solar storms, this paper aims to assess the evolving challenges of space weather, analyze satellite vulnerabilities, and propose mitigation strategies to protect satellite infrastructure as solar cycle activity intensifies.

Keywords: solar storms, satellite vulnerability, October 2024 Starlink incident, geomagnetic storms, atmospheric drag, EUV radiation, Low-Earth Orbit, space weather, satellite constellations, mitigation strategies, space debris, satellite re-entry, solar cycle 25. 37 pages.

Abstract:

Solar storms pose a significant threat to satellite operations, especially as the number of satellites in Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) continues to increase. This paper investigates the vulnerability of satellites to space weather events, with a particular emphasis on the October 2024 Starlink incident, in which 12 Starlink satellites experienced rapid orbital decay and eventual reentry due to pre-conditioning from elevated solar activity and the extreme geomagnetic storm of May 10, 2024. The analysis reveals how pre-storm space weather conditions, such as increased Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) radiation and changes in the O/N2 ratio, contributed to the satellites' accelerated orbital decay even before the storm hit.

In addition to the Starlink case, this paper examines several historical satellite failures caused by solar storms, including the Anik E1 and E2 communication satellites in 1994 and the Galaxy 15 satellite in 2010, which provide important context for understanding how space weather has affected satellites in the past. The mechanisms of vulnerability explored include increased atmospheric drag due to thermospheric expansion, radiation damage to satellite electronics, and disruptions to communication and navigation systems caused by ionospheric disturbances.

As the use of LEO increases with large constellations like Starlink, OneWeb, and other satellite fleets, the risks posed by space weather are growing. This paper discusses the evolving challenges that satellite operators face, especially with the current rise in solar activity during solar cycle 25. It also provides a detailed review of mitigation strategies to safeguard satellite systems against solar storms, including improving space weather prediction capabilities, designing more resilient satellite hardware, and adjusting operational protocols to minimize damage during severe space weather events. By analyzing both the technical and operational impacts of solar storms, this paper aims to contribute to ongoing efforts in mitigating the risks associated with space weather as global reliance on satellite infrastructure expands.

1. Introduction

The increasing reliance on satellite technology for communication, navigation, weather forecasting, defense, and scientific exploration has made the study of space weather and its effects on satellites more critical than ever. Solar storms, which include phenomena such as solar flares, coronal mass ejections (CMEs), and high-energy particle streams, are the primary drivers of space weather disturbances. These events release massive amounts of energy and charged particles into space, impacting the Earth's magnetosphere, ionosphere, and thermosphere. As solar activity peaks during solar cycles, these storms can intensify, posing significant threats to satellite operations and other space-based technologies.

Solar storms can have various detrimental effects on space weather, particularly in terms of satellite operations. These effects include geomagnetic storms, which disturb the Earth's magnetic field, increasing atmospheric density at satellite altitudes and resulting in enhanced drag. This drag can cause satellites, particularly those in Low-Earth Orbit (LEO), to experience rapid orbital decay, requiring frequent corrections to maintain their altitudes. In more severe cases, it can cause satellites to reenter the Earth's atmosphere prematurely. Additionally, solar storms can cause radiation damage to satellite electronics, disrupt communication signals, and interfere with navigation systems like GPS by disturbing the ionosphere. Satellites in geosynchronous orbits, while farther from Earth, are still susceptible to high-energy particles from solar storms that can damage critical electronics and induce charging effects, leading to malfunctions.

The October 2024 Starlink incident presents a timely case study of how these space weather events can impact satellite operations. During this event, 12 Starlink satellites experienced significant orbital decay and reentry due to both pre-conditioning effects from increased solar EUV radiation and a major geomagnetic storm on May 10, 2024. While solar storms have long been known to affect satellite trajectories and operations, this event was particularly notable for the rapid and unexpected pre-storm orbital decay caused by enhanced space weather conditions. The incident serves as a reminder of the complex and evolving challenges satellite operators face in maintaining large constellations in the ever-changing environment of LEO.

The motivation for studying the October 2024 Starlink incident lies not only in the need to understand how solar storms affect satellites but also in the broader context of increasing satellite losses due to space weather. With the rise of mega-constellations, such as Starlink, OneWeb, and others, space weather has become a critical factor in satellite mission planning and operation. This paper aims to explore the specific mechanisms that led to the loss of the Starlink satellites in October 2024, compare the incident with historical examples of satellite failures caused by solar storms, and propose strategies to mitigate the risks posed by space weather to satellites in the future.

The primary objectives of this paper are threefold:

1. To assess the impact of space weather, particularly solar storms, on satellite operations, focusing on mechanisms like increased drag, radiation damage, and communication disruptions.
2. To compare the October 2024 Starlink incident with past events, such as the Anik and Galaxy satellite failures, to identify common patterns and challenges in satellite vulnerability to solar storms.
3. To propose mitigation strategies that satellite operators and space agencies can implement to reduce the risks associated with space weather, especially as the deployment of LEO constellations accelerates in the coming years.

Through this analysis, the paper will contribute to the growing body of knowledge on space weather's impact on satellites, offering insights into both technical solutions and operational adjustments needed to safeguard satellite infrastructure against the potentially devastating effects of solar storms.

2. Solar Storms: Mechanisms and Space Weather Impacts

Solar storms are among the most significant natural phenomena affecting satellite operations in space. These storms arise from intense solar activity and can disrupt Earth's space environment, known as space weather. The primary components of solar storms include solar flares, coronal mass ejections (CMEs), and geomagnetic storms. Each of these phenomena has distinct characteristics and effects, but all

contribute to the broader disruption of satellite operations in Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) and beyond.

2.1 Explanation of Solar Storms, Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs), and Geomagnetic Storms

Solar flares are sudden bursts of radiation emanating from the Sun's surface, caused by the release of magnetic energy stored in the Sun's atmosphere. These flares produce a variety of emissions, including extreme ultraviolet (EUV) radiation and X-rays, which travel at the speed of light and reach Earth in about eight minutes. While solar flares themselves do not directly impact satellites, the high-energy photons from flares can cause rapid changes in Earth's ionosphere, leading to signal disruptions in satellite communication and navigation systems.

Coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are large expulsions of plasma and magnetic field from the Sun's corona. CMEs are more damaging than solar flares because they carry a massive amount of charged particles (electrons, protons, and heavy ions) that, when directed toward Earth, can interact with the planet's magnetosphere. Traveling at speeds of up to several thousand kilometers per second, CMEs take one to three days to reach Earth. Once they collide with Earth's magnetic field, they can induce geomagnetic storms, which are significant disturbances in the planet’s magnetosphere.

Geomagnetic storms occur when the charged particles from a CME or high-speed solar wind stream compress and distort Earth's magnetosphere, causing rapid changes in the magnetic field. These storms are categorized by the Disturbance Storm Time (Dst) index, which measures the intensity of the storm. Geomagnetic storms can range from moderate (G1 level) to extreme (G5 level), depending on the strength of the CME and its alignment with Earth's magnetic field. The May 10, 2024, storm, which was a G5-level event, is an example of an extreme geomagnetic storm that had a profound impact on satellite operations, including those in the Starlink constellation.

2.2 The Role of Space Weather in Increasing Atmospheric Density and Causing Orbital Decay

One of the primary effects of space weather, particularly during geomagnetic storms, is the heating and expansion of Earth's upper atmosphere, specifically the

thermosphere. During periods of intense solar activity, energy from solar flares and CMEs heats the thermosphere, causing it to expand. This expansion increases the density of the atmosphere at altitudes where many satellites orbit, particularly in LEO, which typically ranges from 200 km to 2,000 km above Earth's surface.

Satellites in LEO are designed to operate in a low-density environment, where atmospheric drag is minimal. However, during geomagnetic storms, the sudden increase in atmospheric density at these altitudes creates additional drag on satellites. This drag forces satellites to lose altitude, leading to orbital decay. If left uncorrected, this decay can cause satellites to enter denser layers of the atmosphere, where drag increases exponentially, eventually leading to reentry and destruction. In the case of the October 2024 Starlink incident, the increased atmospheric drag caused by both pre-storm conditions (increased EUV radiation) and the geomagnetic storm of May 10 resulted in the loss of 12 satellites.

This phenomenon of orbital decay was also observed in the February 2022 geomagnetic storm, where 38 newly launched Starlink satellites were lost due to a similar rapid increase in atmospheric drag. These incidents underscore the vulnerability of satellites in LEO to solar storms and the challenges associated with maintaining satellite altitudes during periods of elevated space weather activity.

2.3 Impact of Solar Radiation on Satellite Electronics and Communication Systems

Beyond increasing atmospheric drag, solar storms can severely affect satellite electronics and communication systems through solar radiation and high-energy particles. During solar storms, charged particles such as protons, electrons, and heavy ions from CMEs and solar flares are accelerated to high speeds and can penetrate satellite structures. This radiation can cause single event upsets (SEUs), where high-energy particles disrupt the normal operation of microelectronics, causing errors in data processing or control systems. SEUs can lead to anything from temporary malfunctions to permanent damage in critical satellite components, including onboard computers, sensors, and solar panels.

Satellites are particularly vulnerable to high-energy protons, which can penetrate deep into the satellite's interior, potentially causing short circuits or damaging memory chips. Satellites with radiation-hardened components are designed to withstand these effects to some extent, but no satellite is entirely immune to

prolonged exposure to intense solar radiation. During periods of heightened solar activity, satellite operators often take precautionary measures, such as putting satellites into safe modes or shutting down sensitive electronics to reduce the risk of damage.

In addition to physical damage to satellite components, solar storms can disrupt satellite communication systems by affecting the Earth's ionosphere. The ionosphere is a region of the atmosphere filled with charged particles, and its density increases during solar storms. This heightened ionization can degrade or block radio signals, leading to communication outages for satellites that rely on ground-based stations. Additionally, satellites that provide GPS services can experience positioning errors as solar storms distort the signals traveling through the ionosphere. These effects are especially concerning for applications that require high-precision navigation, such as military operations, aviation, and autonomous systems.

2.4 The Van Allen Radiation Belts and Their Interaction with Geomagnetic Storms

The Van Allen radiation belts are two layers of charged particles trapped by Earth’s magnetic field, located in the inner and outer regions of the magnetosphere. The inner radiation belt is composed mainly of high-energy protons, while the outer belt contains a mix of electrons and protons. During geomagnetic storms, the Van Allen belts can become more energized, increasing the flux of charged particles in these regions.

Satellites that operate in or pass through these belts, particularly those in geosynchronous orbit (around 35,786 km above Earth), are exposed to increased radiation levels during geomagnetic storms. The enhanced particle flux can cause surface charging, where the satellite's exterior accumulates charge due to the impact of high-energy particles. If this charge builds up to a critical level, it can discharge suddenly, causing electrostatic discharges (ESDs) that can damage satellite electronics or lead to mission failures.

Additionally, during geomagnetic storms, the boundary of the outer radiation belt can expand inward, exposing satellites that normally operate outside the belt to higher radiation levels. This phenomenon, known as radiation belt enhancement, increases the risk of radiation damage to satellites, especially those in medium-Earth orbits (MEO) and geosynchronous orbits. This was seen in past events, such

as the 1994 Anik E1 and E2 satellite failures, where communication satellites were disabled due to space weather-induced charging effects.

In conclusion, solar storms, coronal mass ejections, and geomagnetic storms are significant space weather events that can disrupt satellite operations in various ways. From increasing atmospheric density and causing orbital decay to damaging electronics and communication systems, the effects of these storms pose a critical challenge for the growing number of satellites in LEO and beyond. The next sections of this paper will delve deeper into specific case studies and propose mitigation strategies to reduce the impact of space weather on satellite systems.

3. Case Study: The October 2024 Starlink Incident

3.1 Overview of the Event

The October 2024 Starlink incident marks a significant event in satellite operations, where 12 satellites from SpaceX's Starlink constellation were lost due to a combination of intense space weather activity and a geomagnetic storm. This case is particularly notable because the satellite losses were not limited to the direct effects of the May 10, 2024, geomagnetic storm; rather, pre-conditioning effects from earlier solar activity played a significant role in weakening the satellites' orbits, making them more vulnerable to subsequent atmospheric changes.

The geomagnetic storm on May 10, 2024, was classified as a G5-level event, one of the most extreme in recent history. It followed a series of solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs) from an active region of the Sun, AR3664, which had been rapidly intensifying since early May. However, what sets this incident apart is the observation of pre-conditioning effects that began on April 25, 2024, nearly two weeks before the storm. During this time, an increase in the O/N2 ratio and heightened levels of Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) radiation were detected. These conditions contributed to an early onset of orbital decay for the affected Starlink satellites, well before the actual storm hit.

The O/N2 ratio refers to the proportion of atomic oxygen to molecular nitrogen in the thermosphere. Increases in this ratio are indicative of changes in the composition and density of the upper atmosphere, which, combined with

elevated EUV radiation, can significantly increase atmospheric drag at the altitudes where satellites orbit. This pre-conditioning effect caused 10 of the 12 satellites to experience increased orbital decay around April 25, long before the storm itself. When the geomagnetic storm struck on May 10, the satellites were already in compromised orbits, leading to a rapid acceleration in their orbital decay and eventual reentry into Earth's atmosphere.

Following the geomagnetic storm, the satellites were exposed to further increases in thermospheric density due to the expansion of the atmosphere caused by Joule heating and particle precipitation from the storm. This resulted in a sharp increase in atmospheric drag, especially for satellites in the 320-400 km altitude range, causing their orbits to degrade rapidly and leading to the loss of all 12 satellites.

3.2 Data and Analysis

To understand the mechanisms that led to the loss of the Starlink satellites, a detailed analysis was conducted using Two-Line Element (TLE) data, solar wind measurements, and geomagnetic indices. TLE data provides the orbital parameters of satellites over time, which can be used to track changes in altitude and orbital decay. The analysis of TLE data from April 16 to May 15, 2024, revealed a clear pattern of accelerated decay for 10 of the 12 satellites beginning around April 25, coinciding with the spike in EUV radiation and the rise in the O/N2 ratio.

Key Data Sources:

- TLE Data: Orbital data from the Space-Track database was used to plot the decay profiles of the affected satellites. The semi-major axis of each satellite's orbit, which determines its altitude, was calculated over time to track the rate of orbital decay.
- Solar Wind and Geomagnetic Indices: Data from the ACE and WIND satellites, which monitor upstream solar wind conditions, and geomagnetic indices such as the Dst (Disturbance Storm Time) index, were analyzed to correlate changes in satellite altitude with space weather activity.
- EUV Radiation and O/N2 Ratio: Data from the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), which monitors EUV flux, and atmospheric composition data from

the NRLMSIS 2.0 atmospheric model, were used to assess the impact of elevated solar radiation and atmospheric density changes on satellite drag.

Correlation between EUV Radiation, Joule Heating, and Satellite Drag:

The analysis showed a clear correlation between the enhanced EUV radiation from April 24 to April 28, 2024, and the increased rate of orbital decay for 10 of the Starlink satellites. The rise in EUV flux during this period contributed to thermospheric heating, causing the atmosphere to expand and increasing the drag on satellites at altitudes above 320 km. This pre-conditioning effect was further exacerbated by the geomagnetic storm on May 10, which introduced additional Joule heating and particle precipitation, further expanding the thermosphere.

Joule heating occurs when currents generated by the interaction between solar wind particles and Earth's magnetosphere dissipate energy in the form of heat. During geomagnetic storms, Joule heating is concentrated in the high-latitude regions, but its effects can extend globally, raising the temperature and density of the thermosphere. As a result, satellites in LEO experience greater drag forces, accelerating their orbital decay.

Thermospheric Expansion and Density Changes at Altitudes Above 320 km:

The pre-conditioning effects and the subsequent geomagnetic storm had the most significant impact on satellites orbiting at altitudes above 320 km. The 12 Starlink satellites affected by this incident were all operating between 320 km and 400 km, a critical altitude range where atmospheric density increases can drastically affect satellite orbits. Two satellites that were initially at altitudes around 320 km were relatively unaffected by the pre-conditioning, but once the geomagnetic storm occurred, their drag forces increased dramatically, leading to their reentry on May 14.

The other 10 satellites, which had been orbiting closer to 400 km, experienced a more gradual but consistent decay starting on April 25. By the time the geomagnetic storm hit, these satellites were already in lower, denser regions of the thermosphere, making them more vulnerable to the rapid post-storm drag that ultimately led to their reentry.

3.3 Lessons Learned

The October 2024 Starlink incident highlights several critical lessons for satellite operators, particularly for those managing large constellations in LEO:

- Pre-Storm Space Weather Conditions Contributed to Satellite Loss: The early increase in orbital decay for the Starlink satellites was caused by a combination of increased EUV radiation and a rise in the O/N2 ratio starting on April 25, 2024. This pre-conditioning effect made the satellites more susceptible to the increased drag forces caused by the subsequent geomagnetic storm. Satellite operators must consider not only the immediate effects of geomagnetic storms but also the pre-conditioning space weather factors that can weaken satellite orbits in the days and weeks leading up to such events.
- Differences Between Satellites That Re-entered and Those That Remained in Orbit: The 12 Starlink satellites that were lost shared similar altitudes and were all exposed to enhanced thermospheric density due to space weather. However, differences in their orbital histories prior to the storm, such as their altitude profiles and drag coefficients, may have contributed to the timing of their reentries. Satellites that were operating at lower altitudes (around 320 km) prior to the storm experienced less drag before May 10 but were more dramatically affected once the storm occurred, leading to rapid reentry. Conversely, satellites that had been at higher altitudes (closer to 400 km) experienced gradual orbital decay from late April, which the geomagnetic storm further accelerated.
- Importance of Continuous Monitoring and Early Response: The data from this incident underscore the need for continuous monitoring of space weather conditions, including EUV flux, O/N2 ratios, and geomagnetic activity, to better predict and mitigate the effects of storms on satellite orbits. Early warning systems and predictive models that account for pre-conditioning effects could help satellite operators make timely decisions, such as adjusting satellite altitudes or activating safe modes to minimize drag during periods of elevated space weather activity.

This case study demonstrates the complex interplay between space weather, satellite altitude, and atmospheric drag, highlighting the growing challenges faced

by satellite operators in an increasingly congested LEO environment. It also reinforces the need for advanced mitigation strategies to protect satellite constellations from the cumulative effects of space weather events.

4. Historical Satellite Failures Due to Solar Storms

The effects of solar storms on satellites have been well-documented over the past several decades, highlighting the vulnerabilities of both Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) and geosynchronous orbit satellites to space weather events. Various types of satellites, from communication and GPS systems to weather observation platforms, have been affected by geomagnetic storms and solar radiation, leading to significant operational disruptions and even the complete loss of satellites. In this section, we explore several key historical satellite failures caused by solar storms, focusing on major incidents such as the Anik E1 and E2 failures in 1994, the Galaxy 15 incident in 2010, and the Starlink losses in February 2022. These case studies provide critical lessons on the vulnerabilities satellites face from space weather and underscore the need for improved mitigation strategies.

4.1 Anik E1 and E2 Satellites (1994)

The Anik E1 and E2 communication satellites, operated by Telesat Canada, experienced one of the most dramatic failures due to space weather in January 1994, when a severe geomagnetic storm struck. These satellites, which provided vital communication services to remote regions of Canada, were operating in geosynchronous orbit at an altitude of around 35,786 km when the solar storm hit.

Impact of the Solar Storm:

During the geomagnetic storm, high-energy particles from the Sun penetrated the Earth's magnetosphere and reached the satellites, causing severe charging effects. These surface charging and internal charging phenomena occurred as energetic particles from the storm impacted the satellites, leading to a buildup of electrical charge on their surfaces and within their electronic systems. The accumulated charge eventually discharged, causing electrostatic discharges (ESDs) that severely damaged critical components of the satellites' control systems.

Consequences:

- Anik E1: The first satellite, Anik E1, experienced a failure of its momentum control system, which caused it to lose its ability to maintain its proper orientation in orbit. This loss of control disrupted communication services for several hours until engineers on the ground managed to regain partial control and restore the satellite's services.
- Anik E2: The second satellite, Anik E2, suffered a more severe failure, with its momentum wheels completely disabled by the storm. As a result, Anik E2 tumbled out of control and was inoperable for several months, leaving large parts of Canada without communication services. It took over six months and a series of complicated maneuvers to fully recover the satellite.

The Anik E1 and E2 failures underscored the significant risks that space weather poses to satellites in geosynchronous orbit, particularly from surface and internal charging. These incidents also highlighted the need for satellite systems to have robust designs and redundancy in critical components to mitigate the risks of space weather-induced failures.

4.2 Galaxy 15 Satellite (2010)

The Galaxy 15 satellite, operated by Intelsat, suffered a major disruption in April 2010 due to a solar storm, becoming known as a "zombie satellite" due to its uncontrolled drifting in geosynchronous orbit. Galaxy 15 was a communication satellite providing television and data services across North America when it was affected by space weather.

Impact of the Solar Storm:

In early April 2010, the Sun emitted a series of solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs), which caused an increase in solar wind activity and a subsequent geomagnetic storm. The storm caused an anomaly in the satellite's onboard electronics, believed to be the result of a single event upset (SEU) caused by high-energy particles penetrating the satellite's circuitry. The SEU disrupted the satellite's control system, causing it to stop responding to commands from ground control.

Consequences:

Galaxy 15 continued to function normally in terms of its communication payload, meaning that it continued to transmit signals, but it was no longer able to receive commands from Earth. This loss of control caused the satellite to drift uncontrollably in its orbit, threatening to interfere with neighboring satellites. For several months, engineers monitored Galaxy 15 as it drifted through geosynchronous orbit, hoping that its onboard systems would eventually reset. The satellite drifted out of its assigned orbital slot, moving close to other communication satellites and posing a potential threat of signal interference.

It wasn't until December 2010, after nearly eight months of uncontrollable drifting, that Galaxy 15's systems finally shut down due to battery depletion. This allowed ground operators to eventually regain control and return the satellite to a stable operational state. The Galaxy 15 incident highlighted the risks that SEUs pose to satellite control systems and demonstrated how long-term satellite drift can create challenges for managing satellite traffic in geosynchronous orbit.

4.3 February 2022 Starlink Loss

In February 2022, a geomagnetic storm caused the re-entry and destruction of 38 newly launched Starlink satellites, marking one of the largest single losses of satellites due to space weather. These satellites were part of SpaceX's growing Starlink constellation, designed to provide global internet coverage from Low-Earth Orbit (LEO).

Impact of the Geomagnetic Storm:

On February 3, 2022, SpaceX launched 49 Starlink satellites into LEO, placing them in an initial parking orbit of around 210 km in altitude. Shortly after launch, a moderate geomagnetic storm occurred, causing the thermosphere to expand due to increased heating from the storm. This expansion of the upper atmosphere significantly increased the atmospheric drag on the newly launched satellites, which were at relatively low altitudes and therefore more susceptible to drag forces.

The increased drag prevented the satellites from raising their orbits to their intended operational altitudes. Despite attempts by SpaceX to move the satellites

to higher altitudes, the drag was too intense, and 38 of the 49 satellites eventually re-entered Earth's atmosphere and burned up within a few days of launch.

Consequences:

The February 2022 Starlink loss highlighted the vulnerability of LEO satellites to space weather, particularly during the early stages of their deployment when they are in low-altitude parking orbits. The incident emphasized the importance of monitoring space weather conditions before launching satellites and planning for potential mitigation strategies, such as deploying satellites into higher initial orbits to avoid increased drag from geomagnetic storms.

4.4 Other Incidents

Numerous other satellite missions have been affected by solar storms and space weather over the years, impacting a wide range of satellite operations, from communication systems to weather monitoring and navigation services. Some notable examples include:

- GOES Satellites: The Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES) operated by NOAA have experienced multiple disruptions due to solar storms, particularly in their imaging instruments and space weather monitoring systems. During periods of heightened solar activity, SEUs and sensor malfunctions have caused gaps in weather data, reducing the ability to monitor and forecast severe weather events.
- GPS Satellites: The Global Positioning System (GPS) has also been affected by solar storms, which can cause signal degradation and positioning errors. During geomagnetic storms, the increased ionization in the ionosphere disrupts the transmission of GPS signals between satellites and ground-based receivers. This can lead to inaccuracies in positioning, which are especially problematic for precision-dependent industries such as aviation, military operations, and autonomous systems.
- Satellites Monitoring Earth's Magnetosphere: Satellites designed to monitor space weather and Earth's magnetosphere, such as the ACE and WIND missions, have themselves been impacted by the very space weather events they are designed to study. These satellites often experience

increased particle fluxes during solar storms, which can degrade their sensors and reduce the accuracy of the data they collect.

4.5 Lessons from Historical Incidents

The history of satellite failures due to solar storms demonstrates several recurring vulnerabilities that satellites face, including:

- Increased Atmospheric Drag: Satellites in LEO are particularly vulnerable to increased drag during geomagnetic storms, as demonstrated by the Starlink incidents in both 2022 and 2024.
- Radiation-Induced Damage: Satellites in geosynchronous orbit are susceptible to charging effects and radiation damage from high-energy particles, as seen in the Anik and Galaxy 15 cases.
- Communication and Navigation Disruptions: Solar storms disrupt satellite-based communication systems and GPS navigation, leading to service outages and positioning errors.

Each of these incidents underscores the importance of space weather prediction and mitigation strategies to protect the growing number of satellites in orbit. As space weather continues to pose challenges for satellite operations, understanding past failures is crucial for developing more resilient satellite designs and operational protocols to reduce the risks posed by future solar storms.

5. Mechanisms of Satellite Vulnerability

Satellites operating in space are constantly exposed to the influence of space weather, making them vulnerable to a variety of physical and environmental factors, particularly during periods of elevated solar activity. Understanding the key mechanisms by which space weather, including solar storms and geomagnetic activity, affects satellite performance is crucial to designing better mitigation strategies and ensuring the long-term reliability of satellite missions. This section explores the primary mechanisms of satellite vulnerability: atmospheric drag and orbital decay, radiation damage to electronics, communication and navigation disruptions, and surface and internal charging.

5.1 Atmospheric Drag and Orbital Decay

One of the most significant challenges for satellites, particularly those in Low-Earth Orbit (LEO), is the effect of atmospheric drag, which can cause orbital decay. Under normal conditions, satellites in LEO experience minimal drag from the thin atmosphere at these altitudes. However, during geomagnetic storms, the situation changes dramatically as the upper atmosphere expands, increasing its density and enhancing the drag on satellites.

Thermospheric Expansion Due to Solar Activity

The Sun emits high-energy radiation, particularly in the form of Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) radiation, which is absorbed by Earth's thermosphere. During periods of intense solar activity, such as during solar flares or coronal mass ejections (CMEs), the thermosphere heats up and expands. This process significantly increases the density of the atmosphere at altitudes where many satellites orbit. The increase in atmospheric density results in greater drag forces on satellites, which in turn accelerates their orbital decay.

During a geomagnetic storm, the interaction between charged particles from the solar wind and Earth's magnetic field generates Joule heating in the polar and auroral regions, further increasing thermospheric temperatures. This thermospheric expansion, driven by both solar radiation and geomagnetic activity, affects satellites' orbits by increasing drag, which slows the satellites and causes them to lose altitude. If corrective maneuvers are not taken, satellites can fall into lower and denser layers of the atmosphere, leading to uncontrolled re-entry and destruction, as seen in the February 2022 Starlink incident, where 38 satellites were lost.

Quantifying Drag Increases During Geomagnetic Storms

The amount of drag experienced by a satellite during a geomagnetic storm depends on several factors, including the altitude of the satellite, its cross-sectional area, and the magnitude of the storm. Geomagnetic storms can increase atmospheric density by up to tenfold at altitudes between 200 and 500 kilometers, where most LEO satellites operate. This dramatic increase in density can cause a corresponding rise in drag forces, leading to rapid orbital decay.

The equation for **atmospheric drag** is given by:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} C_D A \rho v^2$$

Where:

- F_D is the drag force,
- C_D is the drag coefficient, which depends on the satellite's shape and surface properties,
- A is the cross-sectional area of the satellite,
- ρ is the atmospheric density, and
- v is the satellite's velocity.

During geomagnetic storms, the increased density ρ causes F_D to rise exponentially. Satellite operators must closely monitor space weather conditions and be prepared to execute **orbit-raising maneuvers** when atmospheric drag increases to prevent premature re-entry.

5.2 Radiation Damage to Electronics

Solar storms also expose satellites to harmful levels of radiation, particularly from solar energetic particles (SEPs) and cosmic rays. These high-energy particles can penetrate the satellite's shielding and cause both short-term and long-term damage to its electronic systems.

Single Event Upsets (SEUs)

One of the most common forms of radiation-induced satellite malfunctions is the Single Event Upset (SEU), which occurs when a high-energy particle strikes a sensitive component, such as a memory chip or a microprocessor. The impact of the particle can alter the state of individual bits in the system, leading to data corruption, incorrect commands, or malfunctions in critical systems. SEUs are usually temporary, but in some cases, they can trigger more severe failures if not corrected promptly.

SEUs can affect a satellite's ability to communicate with ground stations, control its orientation, or manage its power systems. In geosynchronous orbit, where satellites like Anik E1 and E2 were stationed, SEUs caused by solar storms resulted in the loss of satellite control for extended periods. Radiation-hardened electronics, designed to withstand the harsh conditions of space, can mitigate the

risk of SEUs, but even these systems are not entirely immune to the effects of intense solar storms.

Long-Term Radiation Damage

In addition to SEUs, satellites exposed to prolonged radiation can suffer from cumulative damage to their electronic components. Over time, exposure to SEPs and cosmic rays can degrade materials, leading to the failure of solar panels, batteries, and communication systems. This long-term degradation can shorten the operational lifespan of a satellite, particularly those in high-radiation environments like the Van Allen radiation belts. Satellites that operate within or pass through these belts, such as those in Medium-Earth Orbit (MEO) or geosynchronous orbit, are at increased risk of radiation damage during solar storms.

5.3 Communication and Navigation Disruptions

Solar storms also affect satellite-based communication and navigation systems by disrupting the Earth's ionosphere, a layer of the atmosphere filled with charged particles. The ionosphere plays a crucial role in the transmission of radio signals between satellites and ground stations, as well as between satellites themselves.

Ionospheric Disturbances and Signal Transmission

During solar storms, increased levels of solar radiation, particularly EUV and X-rays, cause rapid ionization in the F region of the ionosphere. This leads to density fluctuations and irregularities in the ionospheric plasma, which can scatter, delay, or block radio signals. Satellites that rely on high-frequency signals, such as communication satellites, are particularly vulnerable to signal degradation during geomagnetic storms.

In extreme cases, the ionosphere becomes so disturbed that satellites experience complete signal blackouts, as seen during the Anik E1 and E2 failures in 1994, where communication services across Canada were disrupted. These blackouts can last for several hours or even days, depending on the intensity and duration of the storm.

GPS Signal Degradation and Positioning Errors

Navigation systems, particularly Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites, are also affected by ionospheric disturbances. GPS signals travel through the ionosphere before reaching ground-based receivers, and any changes in the ionosphere's density can alter the signals' path and cause positioning errors. During geomagnetic storms, these errors can range from minor inaccuracies (on the order of meters) to significant deviations (hundreds of meters), which can severely impact applications requiring high-precision navigation, such as aviation, military operations, and autonomous systems.

5.4 Surface and Internal Charging

Another major vulnerability of satellites during solar storms is charging, which can occur on both the surface and interior of the satellite. Surface charging happens when a satellite's exterior accumulates an excess of electrons from the solar wind or trapped particles in the Earth's magnetosphere. Internal charging occurs when high-energy particles penetrate the satellite's structure and deposit charge in insulating materials, such as cables or electronic components.

Surface Charging

Surface charging typically affects satellites operating in geosynchronous orbit, where the Earth's magnetic field traps high-energy electrons. During geomagnetic storms, these electrons become more energetic and accumulate on the satellite's surface, creating a potential difference between different parts of the satellite. This potential difference can lead to electrostatic discharges (ESDs), where the accumulated charge is suddenly released, potentially damaging sensitive electronics or causing short circuits. In the case of the Galaxy 15 satellite, surface charging during a solar storm disrupted its control systems, leaving the satellite drifting uncontrollably for months.

Internal Charging

Internal charging is more dangerous because it can affect a satellite's internal systems, potentially causing permanent damage to power supplies, communication systems, or control systems. When high-energy particles penetrate insulating materials inside the satellite, they deposit charge over time,

eventually causing dielectric breakdown or insulation failure. This can result in malfunctions, loss of power, or even the complete failure of critical components.

Both surface and internal charging are significant concerns for satellite operators, especially for satellites in high-radiation environments or those exposed to prolonged periods of elevated solar activity.

Conclusion

Understanding these mechanisms of satellite vulnerability is essential for developing better mitigation strategies. As solar storms continue to pose a significant threat to satellite operations, particularly in the increasingly congested Low-Earth Orbit, satellite operators must focus on improving their ability to monitor space weather conditions, implement protective designs, and execute timely operational responses. The combined effects of atmospheric drag, radiation, signal disruption, and charging underscore the need for continued research and development in space weather forecasting, satellite resilience, and space traffic management.

6. Growing Challenges with Increasing Satellite Constellations

The space environment is becoming increasingly congested as satellite constellations, such as Starlink, OneWeb, and other planned megaconstellations, deploy thousands of satellites into Low-Earth Orbit (LEO). While these constellations promise to revolutionize global internet connectivity and expand the range of satellite-based services, they also pose significant new challenges for space operations, particularly in the context of solar storms and heightened space weather activity. The risks associated with solar storms are magnified as the number of satellites increases, and the ongoing solar cycle 25 is expected to reach its peak in the coming years, potentially leading to more frequent and intense geomagnetic storms. Additionally, satellite re-entries caused by increased drag during solar storms contribute to the growing problem of space debris, which raises concerns about potential collisions and operational disruptions. In this section, we discuss the key challenges associated with managing the risks of solar storms in an increasingly crowded orbital environment.

6.1 Increasing Number of Satellites in LEO and the Heightened Risk of Solar Storms

The past few years have seen an exponential increase in the number of satellites launched into LEO, driven primarily by commercial companies like SpaceX and OneWeb, which are building large constellations of small satellites to provide global broadband coverage. As of 2024, SpaceX's Starlink constellation alone consists of over 4,000 satellites in LEO, with plans to expand to tens of thousands in the coming years. OneWeb, Amazon's Project Kuiper, and China's GuoWang constellation are also deploying large numbers of satellites into LEO, further increasing the density of active objects in space.

This rapid increase in satellite numbers significantly amplifies the potential risks associated with solar storms. As discussed in previous sections, geomagnetic storms cause atmospheric expansion and increase drag on satellites, particularly those in LEO. The more satellites there are in LEO, the greater the likelihood that solar storm-induced orbital decay will lead to unplanned re-entries, as seen in the October 2024 Starlink incident, where 12 satellites were lost due to a geomagnetic storm and pre-conditioning effects. With thousands of satellites operating in LEO, even a moderate solar storm could cause significant operational disruptions, overwhelming satellite operators with the need for orbit-raising maneuvers or leading to the premature re-entry of multiple satellites.

Moreover, the sheer number of satellites in orbit means that even small-scale solar storms could affect multiple satellites simultaneously. Satellite operators must now contend with the possibility of needing to adjust the orbits of dozens or even hundreds of satellites during a solar storm, placing a significant strain on ground control operations and creating a heightened risk of satellite collisions as satellites maneuver to avoid orbital decay. The operational complexity and costs associated with mitigating these risks are growing as satellite constellations expand.

6.2 Implications of More Frequent Geomagnetic Storms Due to the Solar Cycle 25 Peak

Solar cycle 25, which began in December 2019, is expected to reach its peak between 2024 and 2026, bringing with it a period of increased solar activity. During this peak, the Sun is expected to produce more frequent and intense solar flares, coronal mass ejections (CMEs), and geomagnetic storms. As these space

weather events become more frequent, the risks to satellite constellations in LEO will increase significantly.

Geomagnetic storms associated with solar cycle peaks cause strong heating of the thermosphere, leading to atmospheric expansion and higher drag at satellite altitudes. During the peak of solar cycle 24 (around 2013), several geomagnetic storms were recorded, some of which caused satellites to experience increased drag and required orbit adjustments. With the larger number of satellites now in orbit compared to the previous solar cycle, the potential for satellite losses, collisions, and re-entries is much higher.

The October 2024 Starlink incident provides a preview of the types of challenges satellite operators will face as solar activity intensifies. The event demonstrated that even moderate pre-conditioning space weather events, such as increased Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) radiation, can cause significant orbital decay before a major geomagnetic storm strikes. As solar cycle 25 reaches its peak, satellite operators will need to be prepared for more frequent space weather events that could degrade satellite performance, increase the need for orbit corrections, and lead to multiple satellite re-entries in a short period of time.

6.3 Satellite Collisions and Space Debris Exacerbated by Solar Storm-Induced Re-entries

The growing number of satellites in LEO, combined with solar storm-induced re-entries, has raised concerns about the risk of satellite collisions and the creation of additional space debris. When solar storms cause satellites to lose altitude and re-enter the atmosphere, the increased drag can sometimes lead to uncontrolled re-entries. If these satellites do not burn up completely during re-entry, debris can remain in orbit, contributing to the already significant problem of space junk.

One of the main concerns is that solar storm-induced re-entries can occur in large numbers, as seen in the February 2022 Starlink incident, where 38 satellites were lost in a single geomagnetic storm. If multiple satellites re-enter simultaneously, the risk of collisions between active satellites and re-entering debris increases. Such collisions could create cascading debris events, where one collision generates debris that threatens other satellites, potentially leading to a Kessler syndrome scenario, in which space debris proliferates to the point where LEO becomes too hazardous for satellite operations.

The presence of space debris further complicates satellite operations during solar storms. As operators execute orbit-raising maneuvers to counteract increased drag, they must also avoid potential collisions with debris and other satellites. This requires sophisticated tracking systems and collision avoidance algorithms, both of which are becoming more difficult to manage as the number of active and inactive objects in space increases.

6.4 Regulatory and Operational Challenges for Satellite Operators

The exponential growth of satellite constellations, coupled with the risks posed by solar storms and space debris, has created new regulatory and operational challenges for satellite operators and space agencies. Effective management of space traffic and mitigation of the risks associated with space weather require coordinated efforts across multiple domains, including international regulations, space traffic management (STM) systems, and real-time space weather forecasting.

Regulatory Challenges:

The growing number of satellite launches has prompted calls for more stringent regulations on space debris and satellite deorbiting. Agencies such as the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in the U.S. and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) play a key role in regulating satellite operations, including ensuring that operators have clear plans for deorbiting satellites at the end of their missions to avoid contributing to space debris. However, the surge in satellite deployments has outpaced the development of comprehensive global regulations for space traffic management and debris mitigation.

In addition, current regulations often focus on end-of-life disposal plans but do not sufficiently address the risks posed by solar storm-induced re-entries. As more satellite constellations are deployed, regulators will need to create frameworks that require operators to have contingency plans for dealing with space weather events, including safe re-entry protocols and debris mitigation strategies.

Operational Challenges:

Satellite operators are also facing increased operational challenges as they manage larger constellations in a dynamic space environment. With thousands of satellites to monitor, operators need to invest in automated collision avoidance

systems, advanced orbit prediction models, and space weather forecasting tools. For instance, predicting the effects of solar storms on satellite drag and orbital decay requires real-time data on geomagnetic conditions, thermospheric density, and solar radiation levels.

As solar cycle 25 progresses, the need for real-time space weather alerts and predictive models will become even more critical. Operators must be able to quickly adjust satellite orbits in response to changing space weather conditions to prevent premature re-entry and collisions. This will require not only improved space weather forecasting capabilities but also closer coordination between satellite operators, government agencies, and space situational awareness (SSA) organizations.

Conclusion

The rapid expansion of satellite constellations in LEO presents unprecedented challenges for managing the risks posed by solar storms. As the number of satellites continues to grow and solar cycle 25 reaches its peak, satellite operators must grapple with the increasing likelihood of solar storm-induced re-entries, collisions, and space debris generation. Addressing these challenges will require a coordinated effort across the satellite industry, regulatory bodies, and space weather forecasting agencies to ensure the safe and sustainable use of LEO in the coming decades. By improving space weather resilience, enhancing operational protocols, and strengthening international regulations, the global space community can mitigate the risks of solar storms and protect the growing satellite infrastructure that is critical to modern life.

7. Mitigation Strategies

As solar storms and space weather events pose increasing risks to satellites, particularly in the context of growing Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) satellite constellations, developing robust mitigation strategies is essential to ensure the continued operation and longevity of these assets. This section outlines several key strategies to mitigate the risks posed by solar storms, focusing on improvements in space weather prediction, satellite design, operational adjustments, and international cooperation. By addressing these areas, satellite

operators and regulatory bodies can better prepare for and respond to the challenges posed by space weather.

7.1 Enhanced Space Weather Prediction

A critical component of mitigating the effects of solar storms on satellites is improving space weather prediction capabilities. Accurate and timely predictions of solar flares, coronal mass ejections (CMEs), and geomagnetic storms enable satellite operators to take preventive measures, such as adjusting orbits or activating safe modes on satellites. However, predicting the exact timing, intensity, and impact of these events remains a challenge, given the complex and dynamic nature of the Sun.

Improving Solar Storm Forecasting Capabilities

Advances in solar observation technologies, such as data from the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), the Parker Solar Probe, and the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), are helping scientists monitor solar activity in real time and predict space weather events with greater accuracy. Forecasting models that incorporate data from solar wind measurements, magnetic field fluctuations, and radiation levels are crucial for providing early warnings to satellite operators.

To further enhance prediction capabilities, scientists are developing new models based on machine learning and artificial intelligence that can analyze vast datasets from solar observatories and predict the likelihood of geomagnetic storms. These models aim to provide satellite operators with advance notice of impending solar storms, allowing them to implement mitigation measures before space weather effects can impact satellite operations.

Collaboration Between Space Weather Agencies and Satellite Operators

Effective mitigation of solar storm risks requires close collaboration between space weather agencies, such as NOAA, NASA, and the European Space Agency (ESA), and satellite operators. These agencies provide essential space weather data and forecasts, which operators rely on to make informed decisions about satellite maneuvers and operations. Increased coordination between these entities will help ensure that satellite operators receive real-time alerts and actionable insights regarding space weather conditions.

For instance, NOAA's Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) already provides daily forecasts and warnings about solar flares, CMEs, and geomagnetic storms. Strengthening the feedback loop between these agencies and satellite operators—by sharing more detailed information about the vulnerabilities of specific satellites or constellations—will enable more targeted responses to space weather events.

7.2 Satellite Design Improvements

In addition to better prediction capabilities, satellite resilience can be improved through enhanced design features that help them withstand the harsh conditions of space weather. By incorporating stronger materials, improved electronics, and more robust shielding, satellite manufacturers can reduce the likelihood of failures caused by solar radiation, charging effects, and atmospheric drag.

Radiation-Hardened Electronics and Shielding

One of the most effective ways to protect satellites from space weather effects, such as single event upsets (SEUs) and solar energetic particles (SEPs), is through the use of radiation-hardened electronics. These components are specifically designed to tolerate higher levels of radiation, reducing the likelihood of SEUs, data corruption, and long-term degradation. Satellite designers are increasingly using materials and components that are resistant to radiation, ensuring that critical systems, such as power supplies, communications equipment, and navigation systems, remain operational even during intense geomagnetic storms.

In addition to radiation-hardened electronics, improved physical shielding can help protect a satellite's sensitive internal components from charged particles and radiation. Using materials like aluminum or tungsten for external shielding can reduce the effects of internal charging and prevent electrostatic discharges (ESDs) that could damage critical systems.

Improved Orbit Control Systems

Satellites can also be equipped with more advanced orbit control systems to compensate for increased drag during solar storms. These systems, which rely on thrusters or reaction wheels, allow satellites to adjust their altitude and orientation in response to changes in atmospheric density caused by solar activity. By incorporating autonomous orbit adjustment capabilities, satellites can

automatically perform small corrections to maintain their desired orbit when atmospheric drag increases, reducing the need for real-time intervention from ground control.

New technologies, such as electric propulsion systems, are being developed to provide efficient and precise orbit control, particularly for large constellations in LEO. These systems allow satellites to perform longer-duration maneuvers with less fuel, making them more capable of responding to the challenges posed by atmospheric expansion during solar storms.

7.3 Operational Adjustments

Satellite operators can implement a variety of operational adjustments to minimize the risks posed by solar storms, particularly during geomagnetic storms that cause increased atmospheric drag or radiation exposure. By planning and executing maneuvers strategically, operators can reduce the likelihood of premature satellite re-entry or damage to key systems.

Maneuvering Satellites During Geomagnetic Storms

When a geomagnetic storm is forecasted, satellite operators can preemptively maneuver their satellites to higher orbits to reduce the impact of increased drag. For example, satellites in LEO can be temporarily raised to altitudes where atmospheric density is lower, minimizing the effects of thermospheric expansion and reducing the risk of uncontrolled re-entry.

During the October 2024 Starlink incident, for instance, pre-storm maneuvering could have potentially reduced the orbital decay of some satellites affected by pre-conditioning space weather effects. Implementing these types of maneuvers across large satellite constellations, however, requires real-time coordination and careful planning to avoid collisions with other satellites or space debris.

Avoiding Launches or Reducing Operations During High-Risk Periods

Another effective strategy for minimizing risk is to avoid launching satellites or conducting sensitive operations during periods of high solar activity. Solar cycle peaks, like the one predicted during solar cycle 25, are associated with more frequent solar flares and geomagnetic storms. Satellite operators can use solar weather forecasts to identify periods of increased solar activity and delay

launches or limit operations accordingly. For example, when a geomagnetic storm is imminent, operators can avoid launching satellites into low-altitude parking orbits, where they would be more vulnerable to drag-related re-entry, as seen in the February 2022 Starlink incident.

In addition to avoiding launches, operators can place satellites in a safe mode during periods of elevated space weather risk. In safe mode, non-essential systems are powered down, and sensitive electronics are shielded from radiation, minimizing the risk of damage from SEPs or radiation-induced charging.

7.4 International Cooperation

Given the global nature of satellite operations and the increasing density of objects in LEO, international cooperation is essential for managing the risks posed by space weather and ensuring the sustainability of space activities. Global collaboration can take several forms, from sharing space weather data to establishing space traffic management (STM) frameworks that help prevent satellite collisions and debris generation.

Global Space Governance for Space Weather Risk Management

International space agencies and organizations, such as the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), play a critical role in developing global guidelines and regulations for space operations. These entities can help standardize best practices for managing space weather risks, ensuring that satellite operators across the world are prepared to respond to solar storms.

Additionally, international agreements on space traffic management and debris mitigation are becoming increasingly important as the number of satellites in LEO grows. Coordinated efforts to reduce space debris and prevent satellite collisions, particularly during space weather events, are necessary to maintain the long-term sustainability of space operations.

Data Sharing and Collaborative Space Weather Monitoring

International cooperation is also crucial for improving space weather monitoring and forecasting. Countries and organizations with advanced space weather monitoring capabilities, such as NOAA, NASA, and the European Space Agency

(ESA), can share their data and insights with other spacefaring nations, ensuring that all satellite operators have access to the most up-to-date information about solar storms and geomagnetic activity.

By fostering collaborative research and data-sharing agreements, the global space community can build more comprehensive and accurate space weather models, improving the ability to predict solar storms and mitigate their effects. These efforts can also lead to the development of global early warning systems, which would provide satellite operators with real-time alerts about impending space weather events, allowing for more effective response strategies.

Conclusion

The growing risks posed by solar storms, especially in the context of increasing satellite constellations in LEO, require a multifaceted approach to mitigation. By enhancing space weather prediction capabilities, improving satellite designs, implementing operational adjustments, and fostering international cooperation, the space community can better protect satellites from the adverse effects of space weather. As solar cycle 25 reaches its peak and satellite deployments continue to accelerate, proactive measures will be essential for ensuring the safety and sustainability of space operations in the years to come.

8. Conclusion

The October 2024 Starlink incident, in which 12 satellites were lost due to the combined effects of pre-conditioning space weather activity and a severe geomagnetic storm, highlights the growing vulnerability of satellites to solar storm impacts. This incident, while significant on its own, is part of a larger trend in which solar activity is becoming an increasingly critical factor in the operation of satellites, particularly for the Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) constellations that are rapidly proliferating. The loss of these Starlink satellites due to enhanced atmospheric drag and geomagnetic disturbances serves as a reminder of the powerful and often unpredictable effects of space weather, and the potential for even greater disruption as satellite populations continue to increase.

The Increasing Risks Posed by Solar Storms as More Satellites Are Launched into LEO

The rapid expansion of satellite constellations, driven by companies like SpaceX and OneWeb, has placed tens of thousands of satellites into LEO, with many more planned in the near future. As the density of satellites in orbit grows, so too does the potential for solar storm-induced disruptions. The 2024 Starlink incident is just one example of how solar cycle 25 and other upcoming periods of heightened solar activity could significantly affect satellite operations. With the increase in geomagnetic storms during solar maxima, satellite operators must contend with the possibility of orbital decay, signal disruptions, and satellite re-entries becoming more frequent and severe. The complexity of managing larger satellite constellations in an environment increasingly influenced by space weather is a challenge that must be addressed by operators, regulators, and the global space community.

The risks extend beyond the loss of individual satellites; they also include the potential for collisions and the creation of space debris as satellites are forced into lower orbits and re-enter the atmosphere uncontrollably. The growing presence of space debris from re-entering satellites not only threatens active satellites but also makes future launches and space missions more hazardous. The Kessler syndrome, where debris collisions lead to a chain reaction of further collisions, is a significant concern as the population of satellites in orbit continues to increase.

The Need for Improved Mitigation Strategies

To protect the valuable satellite assets that underpin modern communication, navigation, and surveillance systems, it is imperative that operators and space agencies implement improved mitigation strategies. These strategies must include enhanced space weather forecasting, satellite design improvements, operational adjustments, and international cooperation to ensure that the space environment remains safe and sustainable.

Enhanced space weather prediction is essential for providing satellite operators with the advance notice they need to make informed decisions about orbital maneuvers and operational adjustments during periods of high solar activity. Satellite design improvements, such as radiation-hardened electronics and more

resilient orbit control systems, will help mitigate the effects of single event upsets (SEUs), electrostatic discharges (ESDs), and increased drag during geomagnetic storms.

Operationally, satellite operators must be prepared to make timely maneuvers during geomagnetic storms, including raising satellite altitudes or placing satellites in safe mode to minimize the risk of damage or re-entry. International cooperation is also critical in ensuring that all spacefaring nations are working together to manage the challenges posed by solar storms and space weather. Shared space traffic management (STM) systems and global standards for space weather monitoring will be crucial in minimizing the risks of collisions and space debris proliferation.

Future Outlook on Space Weather Impacts and Satellite Technology

Looking to the future, the impact of space weather on satellite operations is likely to evolve as satellite technology and space exploration advance. The growing reliance on LEO constellations for global communications, as well as the planned expansion of human space exploration to the Moon and Mars, means that space weather will remain a critical factor in space mission planning and satellite operations.

Advancements in autonomous satellite systems and AI-driven space weather forecasting could allow satellites to automatically adjust their orbits and operations in response to real-time space weather conditions, reducing the need for human intervention. Additionally, innovations in satellite shielding materials and radiation-resistant electronics could further enhance the resilience of satellites to the effects of solar energetic particles (SEPs) and cosmic rays.

As the space economy continues to grow, with the increasing commercialization of space and the launch of new satellite constellations, the development of robust mitigation strategies will be key to ensuring that space weather impacts do not undermine the critical services that satellites provide. The ability to accurately predict, prepare for, and respond to solar storms will determine the long-term success of satellite operations in an increasingly crowded and dynamic space environment.

In conclusion, the October 2024 Starlink incident serves as both a warning and a call to action for the space industry. As the number of satellites in LEO continues to rise, so too will the challenges posed by solar storms and space weather. By investing in better forecasting, improved satellite design, and international collaboration, the global space community can mitigate the risks of space weather and ensure the continued safe and sustainable use of LEO and beyond.

References

Akasofu, S.-I. (1981). Energy coupling between the solar wind and the magnetosphere. *Space Science Reviews*, 28(2), 121-190. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00218810

Baumjohann, W., & Kamide, Y. (1984). Hemispherical joule heating and the AE indices. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 89(A1), 383-388. https://doi.org/10.1029/JA089iA01p00383

Billett, D., Sartipzadeh, K., Ivarsen, M. F., Iorfida, E., Doornbos, E., & McWilliams, K. A. (2024). The 2022 Starlink Geomagnetic Storms: Global Thermospheric Response to a High-Latitude Ionospheric Driver. *Space Weather*, 22(2), e2023SW003748. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023SW003748

Burton, R. K., McPherron, R. L., & Russell, C. T. (1975). An empirical relationship between interplanetary conditions and Dst. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 80(31), 4204-4214. https://doi.org/10.1029/JA080i031p04204

Chun, F. K., Knipp, D. J., McHarg, M. G., Lacey, J. R., Lu, G., & Emery, B. A. (2002). Joule heating patterns as a function of polar cap index. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 107(A7), SIA 8-1-SIA 8-9. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JA000246

Doornbos, E., & Klinkrad, H. (2006). Modelling of space weather effects on satellite drag. *Advances in Space Research*, 37(6), 1229-1239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.04.097

Emmert, J. T., Drob, D. P., Picone, J. M., Siskind, D. E., Jones Jr., M., & Yuan, T. (2021). NRLMSIS 2.0: A Whole-Atmosphere Empirical Model of Temperature and Neutral Species Densities. *Earth and Space Science*, 8(3), e2020EA001321. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EA001321

Forbes, J. M., Lu, G., Bruinsma, S., Nerem, S., & Zhang, X. (2005). Thermosphere density variations due to the 15–24 April 2002 solar events from CHAMP/STAR accelerometer measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 110(A12). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JA010856

Hayakawa, H., Ebihara, Y., Mishev, A., Koldobskiy, S., Kusano, K., & Miyoshi, Y. (2024). The Solar and Geomagnetic Storms in May 2024: A Flash Data Report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.07665*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.07665

Karan, D. K., Martinis, C. R., Daniell, R. E., Eastes, R. W., Wang, W., & McClintock, W. E. (2024). GOLD observations of the merging of the Southern Crest of the equatorial ionization anomaly and aurora during the 10 and 11 May 2024 Mother's Day super geomagnetic storm. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 51(15), e2024GL110632. https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GL110632

Lazzús, J., & Salfate, I. (2024). Report on the effects of the May 2024 Mother's Day geomagnetic storm observed from Chile. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 261, 106304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2024.106304

Oliveira, D. M., & Zesta, E. (2019). Satellite orbital drag during magnetic storms. *Space Weather*, 17(11), 1510-1533. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019SW002287

Oliveira, D. M., Zesta, E., Hayakawa, H., & Bhaskar, A. (2020). Estimating satellite orbital drag during historical magnetic superstorms. *Space Weather*, 18(11), e2020SW002472. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020SW002472

Parker, W. E., & Linares, R. (2024). Satellite drag analysis during the May 2024 Gannon geomagnetic storm. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 1-5. https://doi.org/10.2514/1.A34896

Sutton, E. K., Forbes, J. M., & Knipp, D. J. (2009). Rapid response of the thermosphere to variations in joule heating. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 114(A4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013667

Thampi, S. V., Venugopal, I., & Bhaskar, A. (2024). Super-fountain effect: Unleashing the role of electric fields during the extreme geomagnetic storm on May 10-11, 2024. *Authorea Preprints*. https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.172434426.60233888/v1

Vallado, D., & Cefola, P. (2012). Two-line element sets – practice and use. *Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress, IAC*, 75812-5825.

Vichare, G., Bhaskar, A., Rawat, R., Yadav, V., Mishra, W., & Singh, A. K. (2024). Low-latitude auroras: Insights from 23 April 2023 solar storm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.08821*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.08821

Woods, T., Eparvier, F., & Hock, R. (2012). Extreme Ultraviolet Variability Experiment (EVE) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO): Overview of science objectives, instrument design, data products, and model developments. *Solar Physics*, 275(1), 115-143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-009-9487-6

Yu, T., Wang, W., Ren, Z., Cai, X., & He, M. (2023). Vertical variations in thermospheric O/N2 and the relationship between O and N2 perturbations during a geomagnetic storm. *Earth and Space Science*, 10(10), e2023EA002988. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023EA002988

Zesta, E., & Oliveira, D. M. (2019). Thermospheric heating and cooling times during geomagnetic storms, including extreme events. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(21), 12739-12746. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085374